



#8
goiga

PATENT
Customer No. 22,852
Attorney Docket No. 6832.0017

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
)
Craig A. ROSEN et al.) Group Art Unit: 1653
)
Application No.: 09/833,118) Examiner: Hope A. Robinson
)
Filed: April 12, 2001)
)
For: ALBUMIN FUSION PROTEINS)
)

RECEIVED

JUL 17 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In a restriction requirement dated June 24, 2003, the Examiner required
restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the following groups:

- | | |
|-----------|--|
| Group I | Claims 1-21, drawn to an albumin fusion protein comprising a therapeutic protein X and albumin (SEQ ID NO: 18), class 424, subclass 192.1; |
| Group II | Claims 22-25, drawn to a method of treating a disease or disorder in a patient, class 514, subclass 12; |
| Group III | Claim 26, drawn to a method of extending the shelf life of therapeutic protein X, class 435, subclass 449; and |
| Group IV | Claims 27-29, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule, class 536, subclass 23.4. |

FINNEGAN
HENDERSON
FARABOW
GARRETT &
DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.408.4000
Fax 202.408.4400
www.finnegan.com

Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group I, claims 1-21, drawn to an albumin fusion protein comprising a therapeutic protein X and albumin (SEQ ID NO: 18), with traverse.

According to MPEP § 803, there are two requirements that must be met before a proper restriction requirement may be made: (1) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required. Applicants respectfully submit that the Office has failed to establish the second requirement set forth in MPEP § 803, that a serious burden exists on the Examiner if restriction is not required between the Groups of claims.

In the present invention, Group I is directed to an albumin fusion protein comprising a therapeutic protein X and albumin. Group II is directed to a method of treating a disease or disorder comprising the step of administering the albumin fusion protein as defined in Group I. Additionally, Group IV is directed to a nucleic acid molecule encoding the albumin fusion protein as defined in Group I. A search and examination of the subject matter of Group I would encompass a search for the subject matters of Groups II and IV, and any additional search would not impose a serious burden upon the Examiner.

It is therefore respectfully requested that the restriction requirement be reconsidered. In the event that the restriction requirement is maintained, Applicants reserve the right to file divisional applications on the non-elected inventions and/or to request rejoinder of appropriate claims once the subject matter of claims 1-21 is found allowable.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge
any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

By: Charles E. Van Horn
Charles E. Van Horn
Reg. No. 40,266

Dated: July 16, 2003

FINNEGAN
HENDERSON
FARABOW
GARRETT &
DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.408.4000
Fax 202.408.4400
www.finnegan.com